

REMARKS

Independent claims 1, 8, and 15 are amended above to specifically recite three different types of databases. Support is found in Applicants' Specification page 7, lines 1-12.

Claims 1, 8, and 15 are also amended to include the language of the claimed term "having" to mean "included in" as previously argued. Applicants appreciate and wish to thank the Appeal Board for their comments clarifying the meaning of this claim term.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 were also overcome as a result of the decision on appeal dated 12/17/2008.

As now amended, claims 1, 8, and 15 overcome all of the issues raised on appeal and specifically are allowable over the cited art of Brodersen and Raz under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Such allowance is respectfully requested. All of the remaining claims depend on these allowable claims and are therefore also allowable.

The Application is deemed in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707, 707.07(d) and 707.07(j) in order that

allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing
the application in condition for allowance without further
proceedings being necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 02/11/2009 By: /John Pivnichny/
John R. Pivnichny
Reg. No. 43,001

Telephone: (607) 429-4358

Fax: (607) 429-4119